Dear Name of MP,

**Objection to COVID19 Vaccine Passports**

I am sending this email to you in your capacity as a Member of Parliament with associated duty and responsibility to act in the national interest as well as the interests of your constituents.

**I am extremely anxious about the future health and freedom of my family and children, and for the future of this country in general, in view of the current Government plan to introduce and encourage the use of ‘vaccine passports’ in the UK**. This plan clearly constitutes government-mandated coercion to accept medical treatment, which is not only in breach of UK Law(i.e. the Public Health (Control of Disease) Act 1984 and Equality Act 2010), but is also completely unjustified by the relevant ‘vaccine’ performance and disease data. The latter exhibit a growing track record of deaths and injuries associated with these novel ‘vaccines’, whilst simultaneously failing to stop the spread of SARS-Cov2 corona virus and associated COVID19 disease. In addition to the appallingly bad track record, the novel ‘vaccines’ have completely-unknown long-term health & safety impacts, because they have not been tested over the normal time period for new drugs and we do not yet know what happens over the long-term to the toxic spike proteins that the ‘vaccines’ cause ‘vaccinated’ cells to produce. The statistics are now so bad that coercing anyone to be injected with one of these novel ‘vaccines’ arguably constitutes a direct assault on their person.

I do not know where you currently stand on this matter, but I believe that the Government has been extremely badly advised in the matter of COVID19 risk mitigation, and I submit to you that any form of coercion to accept these dangerous and badly-performing novel viral vector & mRNA ‘vaccines’ is not in the interest of anyone besides those who make profits from the production and sale of the ‘vaccines’, whilst government-facilitated or, worse-still - mandated, discrimination against individuals who elect not to be ‘vaccinated’ is an appalling breach of modern anti-discrimination laws, ethical codes and trust. **In short, the plan to introduce COVID19 vaccine passports is neither in the National interest nor the interest of your constituents.**

*No drug or medical procedure should be forced upon anyone, and especially not a drug that is already known to incur a significant probability of medical injury or death, as is clearly the case for these novel COVID19 ‘vaccines’.*

In terms of effectiveness in preventing infection, with almost 90% of the UK adult population now ‘vaccinated’, COVID19 infection and death rates are surging (e.g. see (<https://coronavirus.data.gov.uk/details/deaths>); this may be due to antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) or seasonal variations or virus variants but, no matter what the explanation, it is clear that these dangerous novel viral vector & mRNA ‘vaccines’ are not effective in their intended function of protecting people from SARS-Cov2 virus and COVID19.

Another aspect of these novel viral vector & mRNA ‘vaccines’, besides their functional failure as mentioned above, is their toxic impact on recipients; this is demonstrated by data reported to the EU Vaccine Injury Reporting System (EudraVigilance), the UK Adverse Drug Reaction Yellow Card scheme and the American Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). **These data now show tens of thousands of deaths and millions of injuries associated with the main four COVID ‘vaccines’** (Moderna, Pfizer, AstraZeneca and Johnson & Johnson). *NB. It is pertinent to note that some so-called ‘fact checking’ online posts try to discredit the reporting of COVID Vaccine adverse effects data from EudraVigilance & VAERS by pointing out that the adverse effects are associated with, but not positively caused by the vaccines; yet those same ‘fact checking’ organisations make no mention of the fact that COVID19 deaths reported by governments are actually deaths by any cause within a certain time period of a positive COVID19 test, and Freedom of Information requests seem to confirm that COVID19 is the primary cause of death in very few of these reported ‘COVID deaths’!*

No other drug or medical procedure in our modern history has been allowed to continue in use after it was known to have resulted in, or been linked to, more than a handful of deaths. Alternatives to these vaccines, which are simple, safe, effective measures that target the specific parameters that render individuals most susceptible to COVID19, are known, despite efforts to suppress, discredit or ban them.

The overall conclusion of reviewing the vaccine performance data, is that it provides scant justification for continuing use of these dangerous viral vector and mRNA ‘vaccines’, and absolutely zero justification for coercing people {who do not want to take them} to be injected with them!

I submit that the introduction and use of vaccine passports to restrict the activities and movements of persons who elect not to be injected with novel COVID19 ‘vaccines’ that are at best partially effective and at worst deadly, represents:

* Coercion to undergo medical treatment, and;
* A breach of the right to autonomy and bodily integrity under Article 8 of the European Convention of Human Rights, and;
* Discrimination against a group of people on the basis of their beliefs (ref. Equality Act 2010), and;
* A direct assault on persons by forcing or coercing them to allow themselves to be injected with a substance that can cause death and/or injury, and;
* An admission that the ‘vaccines’ ineffective in terms of protecting vaccinated people against the subject disease, since, if they were effective, persons who freely elect to be vaccinated would be protected against infection and there would be no need to push others, who choose alternative methods to protect themselves, to also be vaccinated. Furthermore;
* As the so-called ‘vaccines’ did not undergo full testing amongst all groups over an adequate time period to assess all possible side effects, and are only authorised for emergency use, the question of whether these ‘vaccines’ are still ‘experimental’ and therefore subject to the 1947 Nuremberg Code, is still debatable. Nonetheless, the ethics of coercing people into being vaccinated is clearly in breach of the spirit and intent of human rights and medical ethics enshrined in the 1947 Nuremberg Code and 1964 Helsinki Declaration.

There are massive concerns around the whole issue of how we test for COVID19, how fatalities are assigned to this disease rather than to the primary cause of death and why simple effective measures for preventing and treating COVID19 have been suppressed or even banned. However, I believe and submit that the greatest long-term concern right now is the proposed draconian measure of legally-enshrined discrimination against those who do not want to be injected with a substance that the Government wants to have injected into them. In this instance, the substance in question is a set of highly-novel, incompletely-tested ‘vaccines’ that are being heavily touted by Government against the advice of numerous scientists, doctors, microbiologists, virologists and analysts. This is an oppression and loss of freedom that no one who cares about the future of our democracy should stand by and accept without opposition.

‘*Never, in history, has medical debate been so effectively oppressed and doctors wishing to share simple truths been so ruthlessly silenced.*’ Personal accounts abound of doctors, nurses, scientists and others in the employ of UK Government establishments or organisations, privately expressing their extreme concern about the whole Government response to the COVID19 problem, but being too afraid to speak out, for fear of victimisation and/or losing their funding or even being dismissed by their employers. As Thomas Jefferson said, “When the people are afraid of the government, that's tyranny”.

Implementation of the proposed draconian measure of vaccine passports and associated restrictions, will drag our country backwards in terms of human rights and basic freedoms. No matter what your beliefs are about COVID19 and the novel viral vector and mRNA ‘vaccines’, ***we need to ensure that our children and grandchildren do not inherit a country where the Government can mandate any drug or medical procedure by actively encouraging discrimination against anyone who refuses it.*** Please help stop the ‘tyranny’ and oppose the oppressive and backward step of government-mandated discrimination on the basis of vaccine status, i.e. stand against the introduction of vaccine passports.

*Kindly do not simply reply to this e-mail with standard Government narrative claiming that the so-called vaccines represent the best available means of combatting COVID19, when we know very well that simple, harmless, proven measures are available to prevent and treat infection by the SARS-Cov2 corona virus.**However, please do raise the following questions in Parliament:*

* *In view of the COVID19 ‘vaccines’ having the intended function of stimulating the recipients to produce antibodies, why are people who have already had COVID19 and therefore already have antibodies for that disease, being ‘vaccinated’?*
* *In view of the COVID19 ‘vaccines’ being associated with tens of thousands of deaths and millions of medical injuries, why are non-vulnerable people being coerced into accepting these vaccines?*
* *In view of protection against coercion to be vaccinated, afforded to us by the Public Health (Control of Disease) Act 1984, why is the Government actively coercing people to be vaccinated at all, and especially against a disease that does not even feature in the top ten leading causes of death in in England or Wales? (Reference* [*https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/monthlymortalityanalysisenglandandwales/june2021*](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/monthlymortalityanalysisenglandandwales/june2021)*)*
* *Have members of SAGE been vetted for conflicts of interest related to the advice they give our Government on COVID19 and associated vaccines and, if so, could you please provide a reference to the report? If not, could you please explain how this is justified?*
* *In view of the large body of evidence that the safety risks of COVID19 can be effectively mitigated using well-established safe supplements and medicines (e.g. Vitamin D supplementation for resistance to infection, Vitamin C & Zinc supplementation for resistance to infection and treatment, Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine for treatment (and numerous other treatment protocols that have reportedly been used successfully around the world by qualified medical doctors outside the employ of governments and government agencies)), why are these simple, inexpensive measures not being promoted by our Government?*
* *In view of the leading parameters related to COVID19 mortality being underlying illnesses and obesity (ref.* [*https://www.bmj.com/content/370/bmj.m2994?ijkey=9178a02dcc184167d7a311ba7409d1033a24a3ce&keytype2=tf\_ipsecsha*](https://www.bmj.com/content/370/bmj.m2994?ijkey=9178a02dcc184167d7a311ba7409d1033a24a3ce&keytype2=tf_ipsecsha)*), why did the Government choose lockdowns and mass vaccinations with novel vaccines that have not been thoroughly tested, in preference to addressing the primary factors that render people vulnerable to the disease?*

I sincerely hope, for the sake of our national unity and our children, that the Government will soon change its direction with respect to its current policies on COVID19 vaccination coercion and discrimination, and COVID19 risk mitigation measures in general.

Yours Sincerely,